

# Data and Analysis Requirements for Precision Cosmology with Radio Weak Lensing

lan Harrison

SKA Delivering the Science Cambridge 12 April 2016



The University of Manchester

## Weak Lensing Cosmology Observables

Lensing Shear Galaxies randomly Slight alignment distributed (additional ellipticity)



# Weak Lensing Cosmology Cosmology from Shear

- WL observables probe gravitational potential
  - Very good at measuring matter abundance
- Also track how structures grow over cosmic time
  - Split sources into tomographic redshift bins
  - Dark energy equation of state, modified gravity parameters



1.0



Weak Lensing Cosmology SKA Forecasts

• SKA1 comparable to Dark Energy Survey (DES)



(IH et al arXiv:1601.03947)



Weak Lensing Cosmology SKA Forecasts

SKA2 can beat a *Euclid*-like weak lensing experiment!



(IH et al arXiv:1601.03947)



Weak Lensing Cosmology SKA Forecasts

 ...and cross-correlations do not significantly degrade statistical precision, but greatly improve robustness to systematics





## Weak Lensing Cosmology Shape Measurement

The University of Manchester





The University of Manchester

Weak Lensing Cosmology Shape Measurement

Want to measure shear  $\gamma$  to one part in 10<sup>4</sup>

- Measure in Images (all optical WL analyses ever)
- Preserve 2<sup>nd</sup> order moments of source shapes
- Infer ~6 parameters simultaneously

- Measure in Visibilites (Tarr later, Rivi+ 2016, Chang+ 2004)
- Preserve  $2^{nd}$  order moments of FT of source shapes  $\gamma_{Fourier} = -\gamma_{Image}$
- Infer ~60,000
   parameters
   simultaneously



Weak Lensing Shape Measurement 'Traditional' Performance

 CLEAN imaging gives poor results with respect to requirements





Weak Lensing Shape Measurement 'Traditional' Performance

 CLEAN imaging gives poor results with respect to requirements





Weak Lensing Shear Measurement Solution – radioGREAT

- Expect need bespoke tools for radio WL
- Optical WL gained much from STEP and GREAT
- Community-wide blind data challenge
  - benchmark current methods
  - identify areas necessary for development
- Both image and visibility data supplied
- Like inference challenges?
   Image analysis?
   SKA data simulation?
  - <u>http://radiogreat.jb.man.ac.uk</u>





Weak Lensing Shear Measurement Problems Avoided So Far

- Gridded visibilities will be a data product!
   as a 'custom experiment'
- Time, bandwidth smearing should not be a problem (IH, Brown, arXiv:1507.06639)
  - With < 50 kHz channels, < 1 s time sampling</li>
- Shear achromatic so channel averaging okay
  - Even if source shapes frequency dependent (Rivi *et al* in prep)



## Weak Lensing Shear Measurement Requirements from SKA

- Anything which can affect source shapes needs to be controlled, quantified!
  - Primary beam corrections
  - Antenna pointing accuracy
  - Ionospheric calibration
  - Bright source subtraction
  - RFI etc
- Options
  - These are small enough not to matter
  - These are well understood enough to be simulated, calibrated



## Weak Lensing Shear Measurement Requirements from SKA

- An SKA1-MID survey useable for Weak Lensing would look something like:
  - Band 2
  - 10,000 hours
  - $\sim 1,000 \text{ deg}^2$  (overlapping with DES)
  - − to ~few µJy
- With output data products:
  - Continuum images (source finding, shape priors)
  - ~0.1 arcsec pixels in dirty image / gridded visibilities with ~1 deg<sup>2</sup> FoV
  - ~1 output visibility grid per pointing (channels averaged together)



Weak Lensing Shear Measurement Requirements from SKA

- High quality, high volume, coherent end-to-end simulations will be crucial
  - Realistic weak-lensing relevant sky models
  - Forward model of telescope
  - Noise sources (RFI, calibration errors)





Radio Weak Lensing Summary

- SKA can do WL cosmology competitive with premier optical surveys... but not just 'me too'
   Radio can be panacea for many WL systematics
- Weak lensing has a very specific quality metric

   Preservation of sky brightness second order moments
   ...in image plane *or* visibility plane
- Ongoing efforts with simulations, pathfinder experiments and algorithm challenges
  - SuperCLASS, e-MERGE, CHILES-con-pol, VLASS
  - radioGREAT



### **Bonus Slides**

The University of Manchester





Radio x Optical Weak Lensing Rationale

• For optical and radio shear estimates with wavelength-dependent systematics:

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma + \gamma^s$$

• These should disappear in the cross-correlation:

$$\langle \tilde{\gamma}_o \tilde{\gamma}_r \rangle = \langle \gamma \gamma \rangle + \langle \gamma \gamma_o^s \rangle + \langle \gamma \gamma_r^s \rangle + \langle \gamma_o^s \gamma_r^s \rangle$$



Radio x Optical Weak Lensing Rationale

• For optical and radio shear estimates with wavelength-dependent systematics:



• These should disappear in the cross-correlation:







Surveys to Date VLA FIRST

- Detection of weak lensing in VLA FIRST survey (Chang, Refregier & Helfand 2004)
- Wide but (very) shallow survey
  - 8,000 deg<sup>2</sup>, ~20 sources deg<sup>-2</sup>





## Current Surveys SuperCLASS

The University of Manchester

- Dedicated radio WL survey
  - 1 deg<sup>2</sup>
  - 0.2 arcsecond resolution
  - 2-3 galaxies arcmin<sup>-2</sup> in radio
  - Dense supercluster target field
  - ~300 of 800 hours observed so far
- Multiwavelength coverage
  - e-MERLIN and JVLA at 1.4GHz
  - Subaru in optical
  - LOFAR and GMRT at low radio
  - SCUBA-2 in sub-mm
  - Spitzer in NIR





## **Current Surveys SuperCLASS**

The University of Manchester







- e-Merlin 1.4GHz
- Achieving ~17muJy noise
  - expected from data so far
- GMRT 330MHz (Riseley et al)
- 34µJy/beam rms noise Completed to 25mag
- SuprimeCam BVRiZ • (Caitlin Casey)



Future Surveys V-DECS

The University of Manchester

## VLA-Deep Extragalactic Cosmology Survey

- Proposed JVLA survey
  - recasting of DEEP component of VLASS
- WL key science driver
  - 10 deg<sup>2</sup>
  - 0.75 arcsecond resolution
  - 3-4 galaxies arcmin<sup>-2</sup>
  - COSMOS, ELIAS-N1 fields
- High significance detection of shear power spectrum





## Radio Weak Lensing SKA Forecasts

The University of Manchester

#### $(\sigma_{\Omega_{\rm m}}/\Omega_{\rm m})$ Experiment $(\sigma_{\Sigma_0}/\Sigma_0,$ $\sigma_{Q_0}/Q_0$ DETF FoM $\sigma_{\sigma_8}/\sigma_8$ ) $(\sigma_{w_0},$ $\sigma_{w_a})$ SKA1 0.083 0.040 0.36 0.540.19 0.435.8SKA1 + Planck0.0840.0400.280.4377 DES 0.0320.250.540.430.0560.139.8 DES + Planck0.033 0.33 0.0580.2289 SKA1×DES 0.046 0.0240.280.540.130.398.8 $SKA1 \times DES + Planck$ 0.046 0.0240.230.36 106 SKA2 0.0046 0.420.13 0.010 0.14 0.04 51SKA2 + Planck0.0047 0.086 0.150.010 305Euclid-like 0.011 0.00580.130.380.0530.1754Euclid-like + Planck0.0120.0590.0950.16244 $SKA2 \times Euclid$ -like 0.013 0.0064 0.150.430.0530.1745 $SKA2 \times Euclid$ -like + Planck0.013 0.00640.100.17240

#### (IH et al arXiv:1601.03947)



## **SKA Weak Lensing Survey Optimisation with skalens**

- Choose experiment configuration from SKA1-MID
- SKAT-IVIID Sensitivity curve (Robert Braun) Source catalogues from star-forming galaxy distributions<sup>H</sup> Source catalogues in semi-empirical **SKADS** sims (Wilman et al 2008)





## SKA Weak Lensing Survey Optimisation with skalens

 $10^{-3}$ 

 $10^{-4}$  $10^{-5}$ 

 $(\ell + 1)C_{\ell}/2\pi$ 

- The University of Manchester
- Recover observed shear power spectra in 6 redshift bins
- Optimise configuration on:
  - Total shear SNR
  - DETF Figure of Merit (constraint on  $w_0$ - $w_a$ )
- 'Sweet spot'
  - ~1.00 GHz central  $\nu$
  - 0.5 arcsec FWHM
  - 1,000 5,000 deg<sup>2</sup>





## SKA Weak Lensing Survey Optimisation with skalens



The University of Manchester





# Extra WL Information from Radio Polarisation

- Orientation of integrated polarisation position unaffected by lensing
- Can use polarisation angle as tracer of pre-lensing intrinsic position angle
  - Will have some astrophysical scatter  $\alpha_{int}$
- Error on shear estimation reduced, Intrinsic Alignments removed



#### (Berkhuijsen, Beck, Hoernes 2003)