Primal-dual algorithms for next-generation radio-interferometric imaging

Alexandru Onose¹, Rafael E. Carrillo², Abdullah Abdulaziz¹, Arwa Dabbech¹, Jason D. McEwen³ and Yves Wiaux¹

¹Institute of Sensors, Signals and Systems, Heriot-Watt University, United Kingdom
²Signal Processing Laboratory, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland
³Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, United Kingdom





Increase the resolution and sensitivity up to two orders of magnitude over current instruments

gigapixel images

huge dynamic range





Increase the resolution and sensitivity up to two orders of magnitude over current instruments

gigapixel images

huge dynamic range

- Unprecedented amount of data to be processed
- Good reconstruction quality with scalable algorithms employing parallel and distributed processing





Measurement model

Measurement equation

$$y(\boldsymbol{u}) = \int D(\boldsymbol{I}, \boldsymbol{u}) x(\boldsymbol{I}) e^{-2i\pi \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{I}} \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

Discretised version of the inverse problem

 $y = \Phi x + n$ with $\Phi = GFZ$

- $\pmb{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\textit{N}}_+$ the intensity image of interest
- $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ a linear map; image domain to visibility space
- $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ the measured visibilities
- $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times kN}$ gridding matrix modelling DDEs
- $\mathbf{FZ} \in \mathbb{C}^{kN \times N}$ Fourier matrix with zero padding





Measurement model

Measurement equation

$$y(\boldsymbol{u}) = \int D(\boldsymbol{I}, \boldsymbol{u}) x(\boldsymbol{I}) e^{-2i\pi \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{I}} \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

Discretised version of the inverse problem

 $y = \Phi x + n$ with $\Phi = GFZ$

- $\pmb{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}$ the intensity image of interest
- $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ a linear map; image domain to visibility space
- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ the measured visibilities
- $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times kN}$ gridding matrix modelling DDEs
- $\mathbf{FZ} \in \mathbb{C}^{kN \times N}$ Fourier matrix with zero padding

extremely large M and N





Measurement model

Measurement equation

$$y(\boldsymbol{u}) = \int D(\boldsymbol{I}, \boldsymbol{u}) x(\boldsymbol{I}) e^{-2i\pi \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{I}} \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{I}$$

Discretised version of the inverse problem

 $y = \Phi x + n$ with $\Phi = GFZ$

- $\pmb{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}_{+}$ the intensity image of interest
- $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ a linear map; image domain to visibility space
- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ the measured visibilities
- $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times kN}$ gridding matrix modelling DDEs
- $\mathbf{FZ} \in \mathbb{C}^{kN \times N}$ Fourier matrix with zero padding

extremely large M and N

scalable algorithms; parallelisms and distributed processing





Convex optimisation and compressive sensing

Problem formulation (0)

Discretised version of the ill-posed inverse problem

$$y = \Phi x + n$$
 with $\Phi = GFZ$

- Compressive sensing approach
 - Constrain the solution to belong to the intersection of several convex sets enforcing data fidelity and positivity
 - Add a convex regulariser on the solution to enforce low dimensionality , sparsity , in a given transform
- Convex optimisation solvers
 - Primal-dual forward-backward algorithm
 - Distributed single-band RI imaging
 - Wide-band RI imaging





Problem formulation (1)

Split the large-scale inverse problem block wise

$$oldsymbol{y}_j = oldsymbol{\Phi}_j oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{n}_j$$
 with $oldsymbol{\Phi}_j = oldsymbol{\mathsf{G}}_j oldsymbol{\mathsf{FZ}}$

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_{n_d} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{\Phi}_{n_d} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{M}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{G}_{n_d} \mathbf{M}_{n_d} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{FZ}$$

- Regularisation of the ill-posed problem
- Sparsity constraint for x in a collection of wavelet bases

$$\mathbf{\Psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Psi}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{\Psi}_{n_{\mathrm{b}}} \end{bmatrix}$$





Problem formulation (2)

Convex optimisation task

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{b}}} \boldsymbol{I}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{i}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{d}}} \boldsymbol{h}_{j}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{j}\boldsymbol{x})$$

Enforce positivity, sparsity and data fidelity

$$\begin{aligned} f(\boldsymbol{z}) &= \iota_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{z}), \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \\ l_{i}(\boldsymbol{z}) &= \|\boldsymbol{z}\|_{1} \\ h_{j}(\boldsymbol{z}) &= \iota_{\mathcal{B}_{j}}(\boldsymbol{z}), \ \mathcal{B}_{j} = \{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{M_{j}} : \|\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{y}_{j}\|_{2} \leq \epsilon_{j} \} \end{aligned}$$





The primal dual approach

Primal problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\rm b}} l_i(\mathbf{\Psi}_i^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\rm d}} h_j(\mathbf{\Phi}_j \mathbf{x})$$

Dual formulation of the original convex optimisation task

$$\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{u}_i\\\boldsymbol{v}_j}} f^* \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{b}}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_i \boldsymbol{u}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{d}}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_j^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{v}_j \right) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{b}}} l_i^*(\boldsymbol{u}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{d}}} h_j^*(\boldsymbol{v}_j)$$

- Primal dual algorithm
 - Alternate solving the primal problem and the dual problem
 - Converges towards a Kuhn-Tucker point





Advantages of the primal dual approach

- Full splitting of the operators and functions
 - No inversion of the linear operators
 - The updates are performed on the dual variables in parallel
- Non smooth convex functions
 - Forward backward iterations
 - Alternate between a gradient like step (forward) and a proximal update (backward)
- Randomised updates on the dual variables
 - Reduce computational and memory requirements per iteration
 - Require more iterations to converge









Computational complexity

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{b}^{(t)} &= \mathbf{M}_{j} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{Z} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(t-1)}, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{D} \\ \forall j \in \mathcal{D} \text{ distribute } \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{(t)} \text{ and do in parallel} \\ \mathbf{v}_{j}^{(t)} &= \left(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}_{j}} \right) \left(\mathbf{v}_{j}^{(t-1)} + \mathbf{G}_{j} \boldsymbol{b}_{j}^{(t)} \right) \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}^{(t)} = \mathbf{G}_{j}^{*} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{(t)} \\ \text{end and gather } \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}^{(t)} \end{split}$$

 $\forall i \in \mathcal{P}$ do in parallel

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{(t)} = \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\frac{\gamma}{\sigma_{i}}}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{(t-1)} + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{i}^{*} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(t)}\right) \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i}^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{(t)}$$

end

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(t)} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\ldots\ldots\ldots\right)$$

central node	$n_{ m d}$ data fidelity nodes
$\mathcal{O}\left(kN\log kN\right)$	—
$P\mathcal{P}_i\mathcal{O}(2n_{\mathrm{b}}N)$	$p_{\mathcal{D}_{j}}\mathcal{O}\left(2rac{kn_{\mathrm{S}}}{n_{\mathrm{d}}}MN_{j}+M_{j} ight)$
$\mathcal{O}\left(kN\log kN\right) + \mathcal{O}\left((n_{\mathrm{b}} + k)N + n_{\mathrm{d}}n_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$	-



-



Some results





 R. E. Carrillo, J. D. McEwen, and Y. Wiaux, "PURIFY: a new approach to radio-interferometric imaging," MNRAS, vol. 439, no. 4, pp. 3591-3604, 2014.





Some results









Wide-band RI imaging

Problem formulation (0)

- Multiple frequency bands; images x_l at each band $l = 1, \ldots, L$
- Discretised version of the ill-posed inverse problem

$$\mathbf{y}_{l} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{l} \mathbf{x}_{l} + \mathbf{n}_{l}$$
 with $\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbf{G}_{l} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{Z}$

- X = [x₁,...,x_L] ∈ ℝ^{N×L}₊ the hyper-spectral image cube
 Y = [y₁,...,y_L] ∈ ℂ^{M×L} the measured visibilities
 Φ_I ∈ ℂ^{M×N} a linear map; image domain to visibility space

- Compressive sensing approach
 - Enforce data fidelity and positivity
 - Convex regulariser to enforce low dimensionality
 - Joint sparsity and low rank on X
- Primal-dual forward-backward algorithm





Problem formulation (1)

Minimisation problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) &+ \mu g_1(\mathbf{\Psi}^{\dagger} \mathbf{X}) + g_2(\mathbf{X}) + \sum_{l=1}^{L} h_l(\mathbf{\Phi}_l \mathbf{x}_l)) \\ \text{Enforce positivity, joint sparsity, low rank, data fidelity} \\ f(\mathbf{Z}) &= \iota_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{Z}), \ \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N \times L} \\ g_1(\mathbf{Z}) &= \|\mathbf{Z}\|_{\ell_{2,1}}, \ g_2(\mathbf{Z}) = \|\mathbf{Z}\|_* \\ h_l(\mathbf{z}) &= \iota_{\mathcal{B}_l}(\mathbf{z}), \ \mathcal{B}_l = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^M : \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}_l\|_2 \le \epsilon_l\} \end{split}$$





Wide-band RI imaging

Primal dual algorithm

given
$$\mathbf{X}^{(0)}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(0)}, \mathbf{V}_{1}^{(0)}, \mathbf{V}_{2}^{(0)}, \mathbf{V}_{3}^{(0)}, \mu, \tau, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}$$

repeat for $t = 1, ...$
do in parallel
 $\mathbf{V}_{1}^{(t)} = \mathbf{V}_{1}^{(t-1)} + \mathbf{\Psi}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(t-1)} - \mathbf{S}_{\mu/\sigma_{1}}^{\ell_{2,1}} \left(\mathbf{V}_{1}^{(t-1)} + \mathbf{\Psi}^{\dagger} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(t-1)} \right)$
 $\mathbf{V}_{2}^{(t)} = \mathbf{V}_{2}^{(t-1)} + \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(t-1)} - \mathbf{S}_{1/\sigma_{2}}^{*} \left(\mathbf{V}_{2}^{(t-1)} + \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(t-1)} \right)$
 $\forall l \in \{1, ..., L\}$ do in parallel
 $\mathbf{u}_{l}^{(t)} = \mathbf{u}_{l}^{(t-1)} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{l} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{l}^{(t-1)} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}_{l}} \left(\mathbf{u}_{l}^{(t-1)} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{l} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{l}^{(t-1)} \right)$
end

end

$$\mathbf{X}^{(t)} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{(t-1)} - \tau \left(\sigma_1 \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{V}_1^{(t)} + \sigma_2 \mathbf{V}_2^{(t)} + \sigma_3 \left[\mathbf{\Phi}_1^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{u}_1^{(t)}, \dots, \mathbf{\Phi}_L^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{u}_L^{(t)}\right]\right)\right)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{(t)} = 2\mathbf{X}^{(t)} - \mathbf{X}^{(t-1)}$$

until convergence





Some results



 A. Ferrari, J. Deguignet, C. Ferrari, D. Mary, A. Schutz, and O. Smirnov, "Multi-frequency image reconstruction for radio interferometry. A regularized inverse problem approach," ArXiv e-prints, Apr. 2015.





- Full splitting of the operators and functions
 - No inversion of the linear operators
- Broad range of convex prior functions
 - Forward backward iterations for non smooth functions
- Randomisation
 - Reduce computational and memory requirements
 - Require more iterations to converge



